UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/557,351	11/18/2005	Masaaki Mori	64476NAT(46342)	1752
21874 7590 07/10/2008 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874 POSITION MA 02205			EXAMINER	
			PAK, MICHAEL D	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/557,351	MORI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael Pak	1646		
The MAILING DATE of this communication арр Period for Reply	Dears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4-23</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practice	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> are subject to restriction and/or example.	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). iected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-42, SEQ ID NO:4, species 1st though 3rd amino acid residue in the reply filed on April 23, 2008 is acknowledged.

Applicant indicated that claims 1-14, 17-27 and 30-42 read on the elected species. However, claims 27-42 should be withdrawn because they are not drawn to SEQ ID NO:4.

Furthermore, additional restriction between within group I is set forth below to differentiate between antibodies drawn to N-terminal vs C-terminal antibodies in part IV.

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Part I: Grouping

Group I, claim(s) 1-42, drawn to an antibody and pharmaceutical composition.

Group II, claim 43-51, drawn to a method of quantifying.

Group III. Claims 52-56, drawn to a method of diagnosis.

Group IV. Claims 57-65, drawn to a hybridoma and method of producing antibody.

Group V. Claims 66, drawn to a method of preventing or treating.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

Group I is drawn to a antibody. Pursuant 37 CFR 1.475(d), these claims are considered by the ISA/US to constitute the main invention, and none of the related groups II-V correspond to the main invention.

The products of Group I and IV do not share a special technical feature because the products have materially different structures and functions.

The product of Group I do not share the same special technical feature as the methods of group II, III and V in any one of the pairing, because any one of the product of group I is not produced by any one of the method of group II, III and V, and each defines a separate invention over the art.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, separate search requirements, and different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Part II: Sequences

Furthermore, restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 USC 121 and 372:

Art Unit: 1646

The inventions as they pertain to antibody against one sequence from SEQ ID NO: .

This is a further requirement for restriction into separately patentable groups.

Applicant must elect one sequence in order to be fully responsive. Because each sequence requires a unique search of the sequence in the literature databases and undue search burden would be imposed on the examiner if all of the sequences were examined on one patent application.

Part III: Diseases

Furthermore, restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 USC 121 and 372:

The inventions as they pertain to one diseases of claims 41 and 66.

This is a further requirement for restriction into separately patentable groups.

Applicant must elect one disease in order to be fully responsive. Because each disease requires a unique search of the literature databases and undue search burden would be imposed on the examiner if all of the diseases were examined on one patent application.

Part IV: Antibody to C-terminal or N-terminal

Furthermore, restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 USC 121 and 372:

The inventions as they pertain to antibody against C-terminal or N-terminal.

This is a further requirement for restriction into separately patentable groups.

Applicant must elect one group in order to be fully responsive. Because each region requires a unique search of the sequence in the literature databases and undue search burden would be imposed on the examiner if all of the sequences were examined on one patent application.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A. specific amino acid length limitations such as 1st-3rd etc.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each length limitations is a separate structure.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the

Application/Control Number: 10/557,351 Page 7

Art Unit: 1646

requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0879. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/557,351 Page 8

Art Unit: 1646

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 5 July 2008